IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR

WEDNESDAY, THE 23RD MAY 2007 / 2ND JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 11879 of 2007(L)

PETITIONER:

JISHAMOL M., W/O. PRABHAKARAN,
IRUPPAKKUZHIKKAL, ARIVILANJAPPOYIL P.O.,
ALAKODE VIA, KANNUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.PROMY KAPRAKKATT,
SMT.P.K.BEENA.

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY**
   ITS SECRETARY, SOCIAL WELFARE DEPARTMENT,
   GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. **THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,**
   KANNUR, CIVIL STATION, KANNUR.

3. **THE PROJECT OFFICER,**
   ICDS, THALIPARAMBA.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI. BEJOY CHANDRAN.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 23/05/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, J.

W.P.(C) NO. 11879 OF 2007-L

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has approached this Court, seeking the following reliefs:

> "(i) Issue a writ of mandamus or any other writ, order or direction, commanding the 2nd respondent and the 3rd respondent to take steps to regularize the service of the petitioner on the strength of Ext.P2 notification, forthwith;
>
> (ii) Issue a writ of mandamus or any other writ, order or direction, commanding the 2nd respondent and the 3rd respondent to consider Ext.P3 representation and take steps to regularize the service of the petitioner on the strength of Ext.P2 notification, forthwith."

2. For regularization, the petitioner should have six months' temporary service as Anganwadi Worker. But, the respondents are not regularizing her, on the ground that she does not have six months' service. So, this Writ Petition is filed, seeking the aforementioned reliefs.

3. Ext.P1 is the experience certificate produced by the petitioner, which reads as follows:

> "Certified that Smt.Jishamol M., Iruppakkuzhikkal, P.O. Arivilanjapoyil has worked as Anganwadi Worker at C. No.12, Thunduppadi Anganwadi under I.C.D.S Project, Taliparamba for the period from 28.2.05 to 27.5.05 and from

25.8.05 to 21,11,05 on temporary basis."

Going by the said certificate the petitioner does not have six months' service. Her service is short by a few days. So, I find nothing illegal, if she is not considered for regularization, in the light of the relevant Government order, which says that the incumbent should have six months' service. In view of the above position, no relief could be granted to the petitioner. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed.

23rd May, 2007. K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR,JUDGE.

Nm/